

REMARKS

This Amendment is being filed in response to the Office Action dated November 19, 2003. Reconsideration and allowance of the application in view of the amendments made above and the remarks to follow are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are pending in this application. Claims 15-17 are added by this amendment. Claims 1, 10, and 15 are independent claims. The specification is amended herein to correct an obvious typographic error noted upon further review and to include subject matter that was part of originally submitted Claim 6, but was neglected to be in the specification due to clerical error. Since this subject matter was in the original application as submitted, no new matter is added by this amendment.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2002/0069411 A1 to Rainville ("Rainville") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,191,823 to Ahn ("Ahn").

Rainville admittedly at least lacks a color bias of the PIP that is independent of the first and second data streams (see, the Office Action, page 3, first full paragraph). To that end, Ahn is cited for showing a color bias circuit for each of the primary display image and the PIP display image (see, FIG. 1). The first and second attribute adjuster 15, 25 are under control of a user via control panel 300. The attributes that may be adjusted includes Sharpness, contrast, tint, and brightness (see, Col. 1,

lines 49-53 of Ahn).

In sharp contrast thereto, Rainville in view of Ahn does not disclose or suggest (emphasis provided) "a processor operatively coupled to the display and configured to receive a first video data stream for the primary image and to receive a second video data stream for the PIP; wherein the processor is configured to alter a display characteristic of the PIP with respect to the primary image, wherein the display characteristic includes at least a color bias of the PIP that is independent of the first and second video data streams, the color bias only affects one of the primary image and the PIP, and wherein the processor is configured to alter the color bias of the PIP by combining a weighted average of the first video data stream and the color bias" as required by Claim 1 and as substantially required by Claim 10. In Ahn, the bias of the PIP image is not derived from the primary image.

Based on the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that independent Claims 1 and 10 are patentable over Rainville in view of Ahn, and notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. Claims 2-4, 6-9, and 11-14 depend from one of Claims 1 and 10 and accordingly are allowable for at least this reason as well as for the separately patentable elements respectively contained therein.

In addition, neither does Rainville in view of Ahn disclose or suggest (emphasis provided) "a processor operatively coupled to the display and configured to receive a first video data stream for the primary image and to receive a second video data stream for the

PIP, wherein the processor is configured to alter a display characteristic of the PIP with respect to the primary image, wherein the display characteristic includes at least a color bias that is a color scheme" as required by Claim 10. Ahn does not teach biasing using a color scheme. A color scheme allows a variance of bias across the PIP that is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Claim 15 is allowable over the cited prior art and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested. Claims 16 and 17 depend from Claim 15 and are allowable for at least this reason as well as for the separately patentable elements respectively contained therein.

Applicants have made a diligent and sincere effort to place this application in condition for immediate allowance and notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By Gregory L. Thorne

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. 39,398
Senior Patent Counsel
(914) 333-9665

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

It is hereby certified that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On: August 13, 2004

By Gregory L. Thorne

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. 39,398
Senior Patent Counsel